1 Introduction

- \bullet suffix tree (ST) even if we are careful and try to use as little memory as possible, it takes about 10–20B/character
- suffix array (SA) needs 1 int/character; for texts up to 4 billion chars, we can use a 32-bit ints, which is 4B/character (if we use the LCP array another 12B/character) + the text itself
- $\bullet\,$ take for example the human genome, which is a string of about 3 billion chars from the alphabet A, C, G, T
- the string itself therefore takes about 3GB (if we use 1B/character), or 750MB if we use packed representation with 2bits/character
- the suffix tree will occupy 30–60GB or more and the suffix array about 12GB (+the string itself $+0.75\mathrm{GB})$
- and that's just the memory of the resulting structure, where we don't count the memory used temporarily during construction
- when processing larger inputs, we will be limited by the RAM size
- in this lecture we will show how to achieve an even more memory-efficient solution
- we will even show that we can compress(!!!) the input text in such a way that we still allow fast search
- the resulting structure, FM-index, is based on Burrows-Wheeler transformations and rotations of the string ${\cal T}$

2 Burrows-Wheeler transformation

- consider all rotations of the string T and sort them lexicographically
- i.e., consider a BW $n \times n$ matrix M
- T^{bwt} is a string consisting of the last symbol in each row the last column of the matrix
- sorting all rotations is similar to sorting all suffixes, so it is not surprising that that there is a simple relationship between T^{bwt} and the suffix field: $-T^{\text{bwt}}[i] = T[SA[i] - 1]$ (where T[-1] =\$)
- it follows that we can calculate T^{bwt} in linear time

2.1 Application of BWT in compression

- example: we find the substring "ATTLE" in English text; what do you think is the previous letter?
 - most likely B (from the words battle, embattle) or C (cattle)
 - but there are a few other options: R (prattle), T (tattle)
- if we sort T rotations, all rotations starting with "ATTLE" will be consecutive, so in the last column, there will be a lot of B's and C's and maybe a few R's, T's in this interval;
- symbols with the same context are brought together using BWT
- in general, T^{bwt} will contain intervals with repeated symbols and more generally longer intervals, where there are only a few different symbols such a string is much easier to compress
- for example, the bzip2 algorithm consists of several steps:
 - 1. BWT we get repeated symbols and long sections with a small number of symbols
 - 2. MTF (move-to-front: during encoding, maintain a list of symbols; replace the *i*-th symbol by number *i* and at the same time move it to the beginning of the list) this way, if some symbol repeats often, it tends to stay at the beginning of the list and is encoded as small number; e.g., a run of a single repeated character will be transformed into a run of zeros; and

a long section containing only d different symbols will be transformed into a long section of small numbers 0..d-1

- 3. RLE (run-length encoding) replace a substring ccc...c, a run of symbol c repeated $k \times$ by encoding it as a pair (k, c)
- 4. finally use the Huffman code to encode individual symbols

2.2 Reverse transformation $T^{\text{bwt}} \rightarrow T$

- assume for a moment that all characters in T are different then sorting the rotations is easy, because it is enough to compare the first symbol
- if we sort the characters $L = T^{\text{bwt}}$, we get the first column F
- note that if we have the first and the last column, we can easily reconstruct T, namely, L_i is the letter that is located before F_i in T
- simply start from the end with \$, find the row where $F_i =$ \$; the last character is $c = L_i$; next, find the row where $F_j = c$ and that means the previous character was $c = L_j$ we continue this way until the beginning of the string
- now let's think about the general case: if the character c is repeated in T, which position in L belongs to which position in F?
- it is not difficult to see that the *i*-th occurrence of *c* in *L* corresponds to the *i*-th occurrence of *c* in *F*:
 - if cx is before cy, then x < y and therefore xc is before yc
 - in other words, all rows starting with c are sorted by the rest of the string and so are rows with c at the end
- for efficient reverse transformation, we need for a given L_i quickly find the corresponding row F_j
- this is the so-called LF-mapping; it can be easily calculated already during the sorting of L into F however, we would additionally like to represent the LF-mapping using a small memory
- for this, it is sufficient to know the number of c's in L[0..i] for each character c and for any i (this is the classic rank_c(L, i) problem) and for a given c, where does the section c's start in F

3 FM-index

3.1 Search

- in the previous section, we described the use of BWT in compression and the inverse transformation; but can we search in T^{bwt} efficiently?
- since BWT is closely related to SA, we could try binary search just like in SA; however, in the resulting FM-index we will not directly remember the whole T and answering what is the *j*-th character in the *i*th row will be much slower than in SA thus the entire binary search would be much slower
- however, there is a better way, using the LF-mapping
- the search for $P = p_0 \dots p_{m-1}$ will proceed backwards, starting from the last character; we will successively search for suffixes $P_{i\dots} = p_i p_{i+1} \dots p_{m-1}$ for $i = m 1, \dots, 0$
- more precisely: since the rows of the imaginary matrix M are sorted lexicographically, rows starting with word w form an interval; so, let $[s_i, e_i)$ be the interval of rows beginning with the suffix $P_{i...}$
- if we know $[s_{i+1}, e_{i+1})$, how do we find $[s_i, e_i)$?
- we know the interval of rows that start with $P_{i+1...}$; some of these rows end with p_i these rows correspond to occurrences of $P_{i+1...}$ preceded with p_i
- although rows starting with $P_{i+1...}$ and ending with p_i may not form one continuous interval, their rotations by 1 character left are rows starting with $P_{i...} = p_i P_{i+1...}$ and they do form an interval of rows

- we know that $[s_i, e_i)$ will be a subinterval of rows that start with p_i $([F[p_i], F[p_i+1])) + \text{recall}$ from the previous section that if cx < cy, then x < y and therefore xc < yc, i.e. all rows starting with a given character are in the same order as all rows ending with that character; therefore we can split the interval $[F[p_i], F[p_i+1])$ into 3 parts:
 - rows $[F[p_i], s_i)$ are the rows that start with $p_i x$ where $x < P_{i+1...}$,
 - rows $[s_i, e_i)$ start with $P_{i...}$ (we are looking for this interval), and
 - rows $[e_i, F[p_i + 1])$ are rows that start with $p_i y$, where $y > P_{i+1...}$
- it is enough to find out $\operatorname{rank}_{p_i}(L, s_{i+1})$ how many times the character p_i occurs before s_{i+1} , i.e. number of occurrences of $p_i x$, where $x < P_{i+1...}$, and $\operatorname{rank}_{p_i}(L, e_{i+1})$ number of occurrences of $p_i x$ for $x \leq P_{i+1...}$ (|x| = m i 1)
- $[s_{m-1}, e_{m-1}) \leftarrow [F[p_{m-1}], F[p_{m-1}+1])$
- $[s_i, e_i) \leftarrow [F[p_i] + \operatorname{rank}_{p_i}(L, s_{i+1} 1), F[p_i] + \operatorname{rank}_{p_i}(L, e_{i+1}))$
- at the end we get the interval $[s_0, e_0)$ rows starting with P
- that's the idea; now let's think about some "details":
- given a row in M how do we find the position in the text T?
- this was the information stored in the suffix array -SA[k] = position of the k-th smallest suffix/rotation in T however, we don't want to remember the entire suffix array (that takes too much space)
- solution: we will remember a subset of SA for example, only SA[k] values divisible by s
- if we want to find out the value of SA[k], which is not stored, we will use the LF-mapping to move to the previous rotations until after at most < s steps, we encounter a value which is stored in SA (the result then is SA[k'] + #steps back we took)
- how do we represent $L = T^{\text{bwt}}$ so that we can quickly find $\operatorname{rank}_c(L, i)$?
- the easiest way is to precompute values $\operatorname{rank}_c(L, i)$ for every character c, but only for positions which are multiples of some constant b; to answer the queries, we do 1 lookup + count the rest linearly; so again, we are doing a time/space trade-off; for small alphabets and large enough b, this is fine, we will show better solutions in the following lectures this is a large topic
- can we recover the *i*-th character of T, or a substring $T_{i...j}$ even without remembering T? we showed how to recover T from T^{bwt} in O(n); however, can we recover random small parts without doing the whole inverse BWT?
- yes: we just need to be able to quickly find for a position in T what is the corresponding row in M
- solution: we need to store the sample of SA in such away that we can quickly answer both SA[i] =? and SA[?] = i
- when we want to extract $T_{i...j}$, we first "round" j up to the nearest value divisible by s, we find the corresponding row in M and using the LF-mapping, we gradually decode T up to the *i*th position

4 Summary

- the resulting structure will consist of:
 - F (first column of M) $|\Sigma|$ integers
 - $-L = T^{\text{bwt}}$ (last column of M) -n characters (for now but these can be compressed)
 - data structure for rank_c(L, i) $n|\Sigma|/b$ integers (for now we will show better solutions)
 - a subset of SA 2n/s integers
- how much memory does it take in practice? take our example with DNA, where $|\Sigma| = 4$; let's choose s = 64 and b = 128 (i.e., calculating rank or finding a value in a sample of SA still takes constant time, but we may have to scan up to 64–128 values):
 - -|F|=16B this is nothing
 - -|L|=750MB the original string (use the packed encoding)
 - $|SA| = 12 \text{GB} \times 2/64 = 375 \text{MB},$
 - $| rank | = 12 GB \times 4/128 = 375 MB$

- that's only 1.5GB in total, or $2 \times$ the size of the original string(!)

- note that, unlike ST and SA, we do not need to remember the original string T (we can reconstruct it from $L = T^{\text{bwt}}$)
- so starting with a 30–60GB suffix tree, through 12GB suffix array, we achieved 1.5GB FM-index that's 20–40× less memory and we haven't even compressed L yet and we just used a very simple solution for rank
- with compression and other improvements, we can achieve a DS that occupies 30–50% of space of the original string, and we can still support efficient search